

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,696	07/24/2001	Christian C. Landry	COMP:0244 P01-3660	6978
7590 03/01/2004			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			VORTMAN, ANATOLY	
LEGAL DEPARTMENT M/S 35 P.O. BOX 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FT. COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			2835	

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) LANDRY ET AL. 09/912,696 **Advisory Action** Examiner Art Unit Anatoly Vortman 2835

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is la no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extered fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extered fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension n; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or	the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ent
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	e
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-33 and 36-59</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	
Anatoly Vortman	
Anatoly Vortman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2835	

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

Contrary to the Applicant's position, proposed amendments to independent claims 1, 20, 32, 43, and 51 do change the scope of the aforementioned claims and, therefore if entered would require new consideration and search.

A. Wale -

anaioly vortean Primary Examiner





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

§

§

The Application of:

Christian C. Landry et al.

Serial No.: 09/912,696

Filed: July 24, 2001

For: **MULTIPLE ENVIRONMENT**

FOLDABLE COMPUTER

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Group Art Unit: 2835

Examiner:

Vortman, Anatoly

Atty Docket: Comp:0244/FLE/SWA

200302177-1

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION OR MAILING 37 C.F.R. 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.6(d) or is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as First Class Mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date below

February 16, 2004

Date

Dear Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action mailed on December 19, 2003, please reconsider the above-identified application in view of the following amendments and remarks.